# **Comparing Two Protocols for Head and Neck Cancer: a Cost-Effectiveness Analysis**

# Carla Rognoni<sup>a</sup>, Stefania Rubrichi<sup>a</sup>, Silvana Quaglini<sup>a</sup>, Nicola Lucio Liberato<sup>b</sup>, Lisa Licitra<sup>c</sup>, Monia Marchetti<sup>d</sup>, Thierry Gorlia<sup>e</sup>, Jan Vermorken<sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Computer Engineering and Systems Science, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy

<sup>b</sup> Internal Medicine Department, Azienda Ospedaliera della provincia di Pavia, Casorate Primo (PV), Italy

<sup>c</sup> Head and Neck Medical Oncology Unit, Fondazione IRCCS Istituto Nazionale dei Tumori, Milan, Italy

<sup>d</sup> Internal Medicine Department, Ospedale Cardinal Massaia, Asti, Italy

<sup>e</sup> European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer (EORTC), Brussels, Belgium

<sup>f</sup> Medical Oncology Department, Universitair Ziekenhuis Antwerpen, Edegem, Belgium

### **Abstract and Objective**

A decision analytic model has been developed to perform a cost-effectiveness analysis on the addition of docetaxel to the standard treatment for Head and Neck Cancer (HNC). The point of view of the analysis is the Italian National Healthcare Service (NHS). The new therapeutic opportunity, while more or less doubling the cost, has been shown to significantly improve survival in two recent clinical trials. They evaluated the use of docetaxel in two slightly different protocols, i.e. ChemoTherapy + RadioTherapy (CT+RT) and ChemoTherapy + ChemoRadioTherapy (CT+CRT), respectively. To represent the disease progress, Markov processes have been included in the model. Data have been derived mostly from the two trials and, where not available, from literature and expert panel. Adding docetaxel is cost-effective leading to a cost of  $\notin$  12,880 and  $\notin$  8,820 per life year saved for the two protocols, respectively. The robustness of the results has been tested with a probabilistic sensitivity analysis.

#### Keywords:

Cost-effectiveness, Markov model, Head and neck cancer.

## Methods

HNC relates to a group of malignant tumors originating from the upper aerodigestive tract. Each year more than 500,000 new cases are diagnosed worldwide, representing 8% of all cancers. Unresectable HNC 5-year survival is lower than 40%. Induction CT (i.e. CT as initial treatment) with cisplatin and fluorouracil (PF) significantly improves prognosis in these patients. Moreover, two recent trials in Europe [1] and USA [2] have shown that adding docetaxel to induction therapy with PF (so called TPF) further improves the overall survival.

We developed a decision model based on Markov processes representing patient's weekly transitions among different therapeutic (CT, RT, CRT, surgeries, palliative care) and disease states (complete/partial/no response, progression, recurrence) related to PF and TPF protocols. Life expectancy years (LYs) were used as the measure of effects. The considered costs were: therapeutic protocol administration, blood tests, instrumental tests, visits, concomitant medications, surgical interventions, palliative care and treatment for adverse events. We compared the different protocols in the Italian scenario. Data on costs were obtained from DRG tariffs and official charges in the Italian NHS. Future costs and life years were discounted applying a 3% discount rate. Model parameter values were obtained from the two trials, from the literature and from experts' opinions. In particular, raw data were available for trial [1], allowing to refine the presented results.

# **Results and Conclusion**

Considering a 5-year temporal horizon, the Incremental Cost-Effectiveness Ratio (ICER) resulted in  $\in$  12,880/LY and  $\in$ 8,820/LY for the protocols [1] and [2], respectively. Probabilistic sensitivity analyses were performed varying transition probabilities by 10% and costs by 30% of their basal values. The analyses showed that 70% and 99% of the results lie below the threshold of  $\in$  50,000/LY for [1] and [2], respectively.

In our analysis TPF induction chemotherapy always proved to be cost-effective as compared to PF, having an ICER similar to other commonly accepted healthcare programs.

## References

- Vermorken JB, Remenar E, Herpen C et al. Cisplatin, Fluorouracil, and Docetaxel in Unresectable Head and Neck Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357:1695-704.
- [2] Posner MR, Hershock DM, Blajman CR et al. Cisplatin and Fluorouracil Alone or with Docetaxel in Head and Neck Cancer. N Engl J Med. 2007; 357:1705-15.

#### Address for correspondence

Carla Rognoni, Laboratory for Biomedical Informatics, Department of Computer Engineering and Systems Science, University of Pavia, Via Ferrata 1, 27100 Pavia, Italy. E-mail: carla.rognoni@unipv.it